

REGULAR MEETING OF THE
OKLAHOMA WORKERS' COMPENSATION
COMMISSION

APPEALS

Friday, February 6, 2026
9:00 a.m.
Commission en Banc Courtroom
1915 N. Stiles Ave.
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma
www.wcc.ok.gov

AGENDA

- CALL TO ORDER.....Commission’s Chair, Chairman Russell**
- ROLL CALL.....Presiding Appellate Officer, Commissioner Tilly**
- BUSINESS.....Presiding Appellate Officer, Commissioner Tilly**

STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE BY CHAIRMAN

THE FOLLOWING MATTERS ARE PRESENTED FOR CONSIDERATION AND ACTION, IF ANY, DEEMED APPROPRIATE BY THE COMMISSION

A. MINUTES:

- The drafted Minutes of the Regular Appeals Meeting of December 12, 2025, will be considered for approval.

B. Appeal Hearings before the Commission En Banc from Orders Issued by the Commission’s Administrative Law Judges

The hearings before the Commission en banc will be conducted pursuant to the authority and jurisdiction of the Administrative Workers’ Compensation Act, Title 85A O.S. § 1 et seq., and the Workers’ Compensation Commission’s Permanent Rules, OAC 810. The Commissioners may recess for lunch.

The procedure for the hearings before the Commission en banc is as follows:

- Each side will be allowed ten (10) minutes for oral arguments.
- The appellant will present first. Appellant may divide his or her ten minutes for argument, allowing a portion of that time for rebuttal.

Both parties are subject to questioning by Commissioners.

1. **Antonio Weathersby v. Latshaw Drilling Co. LLC, File #CM3-2024-04554M**

Respondent filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Egan. Claimant is representing himself, pro se and Kymberly Watt is the attorney of record for the Respondent.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

2. **Drake Hamilton v. Express Employment Professionals and AIU Insurance Co. (NATIONAL UNION FIRE OF PITTS PA), File #CM3-2025-02670G**

Claimant filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Lawyer. Drake Hamilton is representing himself, pro se and Travis Fulkerson is the attorney of record for the Respondent.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

3. **Terri Simons v. Digestive Disease Specialists Inc. and American Casualty Company of Reading P A, File #CM3-2025-00298W**

Claimant filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Lawyer. Milly Daniels is the attorney of record for the Claimant and Angela Odell Reinstein is the attorney of record for the Respondent.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

4. **Kasee Laughlin v. Total Team Personnel OK Inc. and COMPSOURCE Mutual Insurance Company, File #CM3-2023-01816A**

Claimant filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge McMillin. Milly Daniels is the attorney of record for the Claimant and Jon L. Derouen Jr. is the attorney of record for the Respondent.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

5. **Brock Clark v. City of Tulsa, File #CM3-2024-01855R**

Claimant filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Lawyer. D. Elliot Yaffe is the attorney of record for the Claimant and Connor E. Brittingham is the attorney of record for the Respondent.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

6. **Arthur Valdez v. Wal Mart Associates, Inc., File #CM3-2025-00069W**

Respondent filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Lawyer. Phillip C. Hawkins is the attorney of record for the Claimant and Travis R. Colt is the attorney of record for the Respondent.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

7. **Amy Remy v. Optum 360 Services Inc. and Farmington Casualty Company, File #CM3-2023-03596K**

Respondent filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Egan. Daniel M. Davis is the attorney of record for the Claimant and Jennifer Finley is the attorney of record for the Respondent.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

8. James Ketchum v. Farmers Group Inc. and Ace American Insurance Company, File #CM3-2023-04050N

Claimant filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Curtin. Randy D. Edmonson is the attorney of record for the Claimant and James B. Cassody is the attorney of record for the Respondent.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

C. Commission Consideration of Adoption of Final Orders in the Following Cases:

1. Brandon Henry v. Town of Ramona and Compsource Mutual Insurance Company, File #CM3-2023-03681G

Respondent filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Lawyer. Kathryn Black appeared for the Claimant and David Custer appeared for the Respondent.

This case came on for Oral Argument on November 21, 2025. After reviewing the record, hearing oral arguments, and deliberating, Commissioner Biggs moved to take this case under advisement.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

2. Bernardino Valadez v. BECCO Contractors, Inc., File #CM3-2023-03637F

Claimant filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Egan. Jack G. Zurawik appeared for the Claimant and R. Jay McAtee appeared for the Respondent.

This case came on for Oral Argument on December 12, 2025. After reviewing the record, hearing oral arguments, and deliberating, Commissioner Biggs moved to take this case under advisement.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

ADJOURNMENT.....Presiding Appellate Officer, Commissioner Tilly