

SPECIAL MEETING OF THE  
OKLAHOMA WORKERS' COMPENSATION  
COMMISSION

**APPEALS**

Friday, February 27, 2026  
10:00 a.m.  
Commission en Banc Courtroom  
1915 N. Stiles Ave.  
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma  
[www.wcc.ok.gov](http://www.wcc.ok.gov)

---

**AGENDA**

---

**CALL TO ORDER.....Commission's Chair, Chairman Russell**  
**ROLL CALL.....Presiding Appellate Officer, Commissioner Tilly**  
**BUSINESS.....Presiding Appellate Officer, Commissioner Tilly**

**\*STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE BY CHAIRMAN\***

**THE FOLLOWING MATTERS ARE PRESENTED FOR CONSIDERATION AND ACTION, IF ANY, DEEMED APPROPRIATE BY THE COMMISSION**

**A. MINUTES:**

- The drafted Minutes of the Regular Appeals Meeting of February 6, 2026, will be considered for approval.

**B. Appeal Hearings before the Commission En Banc from Orders Issued by the Commission's Administrative Law Judges**

The hearings before the Commission en banc will be conducted pursuant to the authority and jurisdiction of the Administrative Workers' Compensation Act, Title 85A O.S. § 1 et seq., and the Workers' Compensation Commission's Permanent Rules, OAC 810. The Commissioners may recess for lunch.

The procedure for the hearings before the Commission en banc is as follows:

- Each side will be allowed ten (10) minutes for oral arguments.
- The appellant will present first. Appellant may divide his or her ten minutes for argument, allowing a portion of that time for rebuttal.

Both parties are subject to questioning by Commissioners.

1. **Arnold Petti v. American Airlines Inc. and AIU Insurance Co. (NATIONAL UNION FORE OF PITTS PA), File #CM3-2022-00492X**

Claimant filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Inhofe. Ray Lahann is the attorney of record for the claimant and Travis Colt is the attorney of record for the Respondent.

**Possible Action:**

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

2. **Arnold Petti v. American Airlines Inc. and AIU Insurance Co. (NATIONAL UNION FORE OF PITTS PA), File #CM3-2022-01974F**

Claimant filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Curtin. Ray Lahann is the attorney of record for the claimant and Travis Colt is the attorney of record for the Respondent.

**Possible Action:**

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

3. **Arnold Petti v. American Airlines Inc. and AIU Insurance Co. (NATIONAL UNION FORE OF PITTS PA), File #CM3-2022-02240P**

Claimant filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Inhofe. Ray Lahann is the attorney of record for the claimant and Travis Colt is the attorney of record for the Respondent.

**Possible Action:**

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

4. **Lyle Fulton v. City of Tulsa (OWN RISK #10435), File #CM3-2021-04951R**

Respondent filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge McMillin. Jack G. Zurawik is the attorney of record for the Claimant and Travis Colt is the attorney of record for the Respondent.

**Possible Action:**

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

C. **Commission Consideration of Adoption of Final Orders in the Following Cases:**

1. **Bernardino Valadez v. BECCO Contractors, Inc., File #CM3-2023-03637F**

Claimant filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Egan. Jack G. Zurawik appeared for the Claimant and R. Jay McAtee appeared for the Respondent.

This case came on for Oral Argument on December 12, 2025. After reviewing the record, hearing oral arguments, and deliberating, Commissioner Biggs moved to take this case under advisement.

**Possible Action:**

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

2. **Brock Clark v. City of Tulsa, File #CM3-2024-01855R**

Respondent filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Lawyer. April Spurgeon appeared for the Claimant and Travis Colt appeared for the Respondent.

This case came on for Oral Argument on February 6, 2026. After reviewing the record, hearing oral arguments, and deliberating, Commissioner Biggs moved to take this case under advisement.

**Possible Action:**

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

**ADJOURNMENT.....Presiding Appellate Officer, Commissioner Tilly**