

**REGULAR MEETING OF THE
OKLAHOMA WORKERS' COMPENSATION
COMMISSION**

APPEALS

Friday, May 15, 2026
9:00 a.m.
Commission en Banc Courtroom
1915 N. Stiles Ave.
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma
www.wcc.ok.gov

AGENDA

- CALL TO ORDER.....Commission’s Chair, Chairman Russell**
- ROLL CALL.....Presiding Appellate Officer, Commissioner Tilly**
- BUSINESS.....Presiding Appellate Officer, Commissioner Tilly**

STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE BY CHAIRMAN

THE FOLLOWING MATTERS ARE PRESENTED FOR CONSIDERATION AND ACTION, IF ANY, DEEMED APPROPRIATE BY THE COMMISSION

A. MINUTES:

- The drafted Minutes of the Regular Appeals Meeting of April 24, 2026, will be considered for approval.

B. Appeal Hearings before the Commission En Banc from Orders Issued by the Commission’s Administrative Law Judges

The hearings before the Commission en banc will be conducted pursuant to the authority and jurisdiction of the Administrative Workers’ Compensation Act, Title 85A O.S. § 1 et seq., and the Workers’ Compensation Commission’s Permanent Rules, OAC 810. The Commissioners may recess for lunch.

The procedure for the hearings before the Commission en banc is as follows:

- Each side will be allowed ten (10) minutes for oral arguments.
- The appellant will present first. Appellant may divide his or her ten minutes for argument, allowing a portion of that time for rebuttal.

Both parties are subject to questioning by Commissioners.

1. **Whitney Crawford v. Oasis Outsourcing Admin Group, American Zurich Ins. Co., The Center for Housing Solutions Inc., and Service American Indemnity Company, File #CM3-2024-02603Q**

Respondent filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Inhofe. Susan H. Jones is the attorney of record for the Claimant. James B. Cassody and Chad R. Whitten are the attorneys of record for the Respondents.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

2. **Tracy Miles v. Family Dollar Stores Inc. and AIU Insurance Co. (NATIONAL UNION FIRE OF PITTS PA), File #CM3-2024-05583T**

Respondent filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Inhofe. Michael R. Green is the attorney of record for the Claimant and Chad R. Whitten is the attorney of record for the Respondent.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

3. **William Lanier v. Tops LLC and Benchmark Insurance Company, File #CM3-2023-03473K**

Respondent filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Blodgett. Nicole Lynn Bell is the attorney of record for the Claimant and Nicole S. Bryant is the attorney of record for the Respondent.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

4. **Earl York v. Piping Technology Company and Waypoint Mutual, File #CM3-2024-02489W**

Respondent filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Inhofe. Gregg G. Fuller is the attorney of record for the Claimant and Nicole S. Bryant is the attorney of record for the Respondent.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

5. **Erica Winchester v. Flex N Gate Oklahoma LLC and Sentinel Insurance Co. LTD, File #CM3-2019-04413R**

Respondent filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge McMillin. Aaron Corbett is the attorney of record for the Claimant and Steven E. Hanna is the attorney of record for the Respondent.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

6. **Curran Henson v. The Toro Co. and American Zurich Ins. Co., File #CM3-2023-06956F**

Respondent filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Blodgett. Charles Simons and Kathryn Black are the attorneys of record for the Claimant. Connie M. Wolfe is the attorney of record for the Respondent.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

7. **William Swager v. Scurlock Holdings Inc. and Travelers Indemnity Co. of America, File #CM3-2024-06136T**

Claimant filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Egan. Phillip C. Hawkins is the attorney of record for the Claimant and Matthew R. Defehr is the attorney of record for the Respondent.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

8. **Matthew Tavaglione v. City of Tulsa, File #CM3-2025-01108K**

Claimant filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Inhofe. Ray Lahann is the attorney of record for the Claimant and Travis R. Colt is the attorney of record for the Respondent.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

C. **Commission Consideration of Adoption of Final Orders in the Following Cases:**

1. **Brock Clark v. City of Tulsa, File #CM3-2024-01855R**

Respondent filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Lawyer. April Spurgeon appeared for the Claimant and Travis Colt appeared for the Respondent.

This case came on for Oral Argument on February 6, 2026. After reviewing the record, hearing oral arguments, and deliberating, Commissioner Biggs moved to take this case under advisement.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

2. Phillip Mendell v. City of Bartlesville, File #CM3-2021-04713A

Claimant filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Inhofe. Kathryn Black is the attorney of record for the Claimant and Travis Colt is the attorney of record for the Respondent.

This case came on for Oral Argument on March 27, 2026. After reviewing the record, hearing oral arguments, and deliberating, Commissioner Biggs moved to take this case under advisement.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

3. Laurel Reynolds v. Galt Foundation Inc. and COMPSOURCE Mutual Insurance Company, File #CM3-2024-02404F

Claimant filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Curtin. D. Elliot Yaffe is the attorney of record for the Claimant and R. Dean Lott is the attorney of record for the Respondent.

This case came on for Oral Argument on March 27, 2026. After reviewing the record, hearing oral arguments, and deliberating, Commissioner Biggs moved to take this case under advisement.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

ADJOURNMENT.....Presiding Appellate Officer, Commissioner Tilly